

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE     | EIDET MAMED DIVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO  | CONFIDATATIONAL  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| AFFLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/776,859                                       | 02/11/2004      | Thomas Mastaler      | 0275A-420COA        | 3895             |
| 27572  | 7590 08/13/2004 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.<br>P.O. BOX 828 |                 |                      | TSO, EDWARD H       |                  |
| BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303                       |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                 |                      | 2838                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |   | - w       |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|-----------|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |           |  |  |  |
|   | 10/776,859  | MASTALER ET AL.   |           |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |           |  |  |  |
|   | Edward H Tso  | 2838  |           |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply   | tion appears on the cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence addres  | S         |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 19s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB     | eply be timely filed<br>by (30) days will be considered timely.<br>ITHS from the mailing date of this commun<br>SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |           |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of  | on 19 May 2004.   |   |           |  |  |  |
| ,   | ☐ This action is non-final.   |   |           |  |  |  |
| •   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |   |           |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |           |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-9,11,12,15-17 and 20-32</u> is  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-9,11,12,15-17 and 20-32</u> is  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction  | withdrawn from consideration. s/are rejected.   |   |           |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |           |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E   | xaminer.  |   |           |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  | ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to   | by the Examiner.  |           |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objectio   | ** '  |   |           |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by  |   |   |           |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |           |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for  | cuments have been received.<br>cuments have been received in A<br>he priority documents have been<br>Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | opplication No received in this National Stag   | je        |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | <b>√</b> N  | Summany (DTO 442)   |           |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-</li> </ol>  | -948) Paper No(s  | Summary (PTO-413)<br>s)/Mail Date   |           |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | D/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of I   | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152<br>   | )         |  |  |  |

#### DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to a preliminary amendment filed 5/19/2004 which was not associated with the file until after the mailing of the last Office Action.

### Specification

The disclosure should be carefully reviewed to ensure that any and all grammatical, idiomatic, and spelling or other minor errors are corrected.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 6-9, 11, 12, 15-17, 20, 21, 26, 27, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by KUBALE et al. (US 6,525,511). The reference discloses an adapter 10 having a first portion 130 for attaching to an apparatus such as a cordless tool 18, a second portion 102 for attaching to a battery 14 whereby the adapter completes a circuit between the battery and the apparatus. See figure 1a. The cordless tool has a motor 30 and a working member (not shown). The adapter having a pair of rails 130 for engaging the apparatus and has an aperture 146,112,118 for receiving a nose 86 of the battery 14. The adapter includes

Page 3

Art Unit: 2838

a nose 106 for inserting into the aperture 50 of the apparatus and defining an aperture 114 for receiving the nose 86 of the battery. The adapter aperture is parallel to the nose of the adapter. See arrow of figure 1a. Furthermore, the apparatus may be a charger 22. See figures 3a,3b. Of course these adapters are physically coupling a first type of battery to a different type of apparatus. See column 2, lines 29-44. A latching mechanism is provided to secure the two devices. See column 8, lines 17-31.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-25 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KUBALE et al. (US 6,525,511). The reference does not specifically disclose a button is used to latch and unlatch the two devices. Button latch is commonly used to secure different devices

Application/Control Number: 10/776,859 Page 4

Art Unit: 2838

together since it is one of the easiest latch for a user to operate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have applied a button latch to secure the two devices since any user would be able to operate the button without undue burden.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 272 2800, Monday-Friday, 830am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD TSO Primary Examiner 571 272 2087